Criteria	Excellent	Meets Expectations	Approaching Expectations	Unsatisfactory
Contribution to the scholarly conversation of the library profession (30%, or a total of 30 points)	Clearly defines a focused issue and its importance to the library profession.  Explains in detail how the project will impact and contribute to scholarship	Identifies an issue or range of issue of importance to the library community. Explains how the project will impact and contribute to scholarship	Identifies an issue with limited importance to the library community. Notes potential contributions to the scholary conversation	No issue idenified, or identified with insufficient detail. Little detail regarding project activities or contribution to the scholarly conversation
	25-30 points	15-24 points	5-14 points	0 - 4 points
Other benefits to IPAL, IPAL members, academic libraries, and library users (30% or a total of 30 points)	Addresses in detail how project activities or outcomes contribute to the goals of IPAL member libraries, or the IPAL consortium	Describes adequately how project activities or outcomes could contribute in general to academic libraries. Relates activities and outcomes to IPAL goals	Describes in a basic way how project activities or outcomes will benefit some subset of library users, academic libraries in general, or IPAL libraries	Describes benefits from project activities and outcomes which apply primarily to those host library
	25-30 points	15-24 points	5-14 points	0-4 points
Intended audience (10%, or a total of 10 points)	Plan to publish findings beyond IPAL nationally	Plan to share beyond IPAL regionally	Plan to share within IPAL membership	Makes no plan to share
	10 points	6-9 points	1-5 points	0 points
Budget (10% or a total of 10 points)	A realistic and detailed budget with proof of amounts provided	An adequate budget with estimated costs provided	Basic budget with vague or little detail provided	No budget information provided
	9-10 points	5-8 points	1-4 points	0 points
Assessment plan (10% or a total of 10 points)	Well articulated assessment plan that includes feedback from an outside source	Adequate assessment plan 5-8 points	Basic Assessment plan with vague or little detail provided	No plan of assessment 0 points
	9-10 points		1-4 points	
Timeline for research project (10%, or a total of 10 points)	Well articulated and justified timeline for project	Adequate and reasonable timeline for project	Basic timeline with vague or little detail provided	No timeline provided
	9-10 points	5-8 points	1-4 points	0 points